

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Office of Regional Counsel

Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
Phone Number (415) 947-8705
Fax Number (415) 947-3570, 947-3571

<u>LEASE DELIVER</u>	<u>TO</u> :	
NAME FAX# PHONE# OFFICE	Chrika Durr 202-233-0121 Cherik & the GAB	S 5 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
NAME FAX# PHONE# OFFICE	MATRICE STATE OF THE PARTY OF T	
NAME , FAX# PHONE# OFFICE		
FROM: NAME PHONE# DATE	Tulia Tacksa- 415-972-3948 9/24/07	
This transmission of ADDITIONAL MES		cover page.

The information contained in this communication is intended only for the use of the addressee and may be confidential, may be attorney-client privileged and may constitute inside information. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please contact us at (415) 947-8705.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

September 22, 2009

Brad A. Bartlett Energy Minerals Law Center 1911 Main Ave., Suite 238 Durango, CO 81301

Amy Atwood Center for Biological Diversity PO Box 11374 Portland, OR 97211-0374

Re: In re NPDES Permit Renewal: Peabody Black Mesa NPDES Permit No. NN0022179: Black Mesa Mine Complex

Dear Mr. Bartlett and Ms. Atwood,

Regarding your clients' Petition for Review and Motion for Extension of Time to File a Supplemental Brief in In re NPDES Permit Renewal: Peabody Black Mesa NPDES Permit No. NN0022179: Black Mesa Mine Complex, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX ("EPA Region 9") does not oppose an extension of 30 days to file a supplemental brief in this proceeding. While EPA Region 9 does not oppose a 30-day extension, EPA Region 9 is not taking a position on two issues. First, EPA takes no position as to whether or not Petitioners have demonstrated good cause for an extension of time to file a supplemental brief. See In re City and County of Honolulu, NPDES Appeal No. 09-01, Order Granting Alternative Motion for Extension of Time to File Petitions for Review, Feb. 2, 2009 ("the Board has, on occasion, and for good cause shown, granted motions seeking leave to file supplemental briefs to support the issues identified in timely petitions for review"). Second, EPA Region 9 also takes no position on whether the Petition for Review was sufficiently specific. See In re LCP Chemicals – N.Y., 4 E.A.D. 661, 665 n. 9 (EAB 1993) (finding that granting review should be "sparingly exercised" and that petitions for review must "specifically identify disputed permit conditions and demonstrate why review is warranted").

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 972-3948.

Sincerely,

Julia Jackson

Office of Regional Counsel

techon

EPA - Region IX